IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.574 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.459 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2435 OF 2005

Mahadu Gopal Pitambare — Applicant
versus
State of Maharashtra — Respondent

Mr.Arfan Sait for applicant.
Mr.S.S.Pednekar, APP for respondent.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 25th August 2006

PC :

1. Learned counsel for the applicant restricts the application to prayer clause (b).

2. He submits that the order be modified and instead of one surety it be substituted with one or two sureties to make up the bond.

3. Learned APP states that considering the fact that the applicant is a senior citizen, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, the order be modified as prayed.

4. Accordingly, the application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (b). It is directed that the order passed in Criminal application No.459 of 2006 dated 14th August 2006 would stand modified only to the extent that the applicant is permitted to furnish one or two sureties to make up the bond.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)